

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,009	10/797,009 03/11/2004		Lee R. Dreyer	025803-00003	3896	
4372	7590	03/07/2005	•	EXAMINER		
		NER PLOTKIN &	FLOOD, MICHELE C			
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1654		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/797,009	DREYER, LEE R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michele Flood	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. () (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ma	arch 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
	· _						
Disposition of Claims							
4)							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The Office notes that Claim 1 in its entirety, as originally filed, is generally indefinite and confusing because the claim recites a composition comprising (a); (b); or (c), wherein each of (a), (b) and (c) recite preparations comprising claim-designated ingredients and claim-designated number of ingredients. As drafted, it is unclear as to the subject matter Applicant intends to direct the claimed invention because no conjunction appears to between the recitation of the subject matter of (a) and (b). Therefore, it is unclear as to whether the claimed invention is directed to a composition comprising (a) and (b) or (c); or whether the claimed invention is directed to a composition composition comprising (a) or (b) or (c). Nonetheless, in order to expedite prosecution of the instant application, a reasonable restriction requirement is set forth immediately below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-20, drawn to a formulation comprising herbal active ingredients, wherein the herbal active ingredients (a); (b); or (c), classified in class 424, subclass 725+.
- II. Claims 21-34, drawn to a method of treating pain in a subject comprising administering the formulation of claim 1 to the subject, classified in class 514, subclass 783.

Art Unit: 1654

III. Claims 35-49, drawn to a method of treating inflammation in a subject comprising administering an anti-inflammatory amount of the formulation of claim 1 to the subject, classified in class 514, subclass 887.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product has claimed has been found useful in the treatment of two different methods of treatment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for another Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to numerous patentably distinct species of herbal formulations, said species containing numerous permutations of numerous ingredients. See Claims 1-13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of composition or single disclosed combination of species of composition, specifically stating which botanical group member, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is **also** required, in addition to electing a single disclosed botanical group member of a composition or combination of single disclosed botanical members of a composition as discussed above, to **also** elect under 35 U.S.C. 121 a single disclosed species of composition, **enumerating all ingredients present therein**, for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Please note this requirement is made with particular regard to claims 1-14 wherein applicant directs the invention to numerous permutations of numerous ingredients, wherein the composition comprise "eight or all or five, six or seven of numerous herbal active ingredients. Currently, Claims 14-20, 27-33 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Application/Control Number: 10/797,009 Page 5

Art Unit: 1654

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Flood whose telephone number is 571-272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele (Flood.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

MCF

March 7, 2005